



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/651,428	08/29/2003	Fei Xie	51053/JEC/B600	8633
75	90 02/09/2006		EXAMINER	
CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP			PAN, YUWEN	
P.O. BOX 7068	B CA 91109-7068		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
THORDEWI,	C/1 71107 7000		2682	-
			DATE MAIL ED. 02/00/2004	c

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after \$IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire \$IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 November 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Yowen Pan Z692		10/651,428	XIE, FEI					
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extension for many be availated under the provisions of 27 ER 1.18(b), in no overs, however, may reply be timely filled and the communication of 27 ER 1.18(b), in no overs, however, may reply the limely filled and the communication of the communication of 15 EV 18 (18) MONTHS from the realing date of this communication. Fallute to reply which he set or ended period for reply which the set or ended period for reply with by the side, cause the suplication to become ABNOCNED (SU S. C. 9 133). Any reply repowers by the Office Iden from their months after the mailing date of this communication, which is set or expected by the Office Iden from their months after the mailing date of this communication, which is a communication. Set 97 CFR 1.79(4). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 30 November 2005. 2□ □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-20 isfare pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) isfare allowed. 6b) □ Claim(s) 1-20 isfare allowed. 7c) □ Claim(s) 1-20 isfare allowed. 7c) □ Claim(s) 1-20 isfare allowed. 7d □ Claim(s) 1-20 isfare allowed. 7d □ Claim(s) 1-20 isfare allowed. 7d □ Claim(s) 1-20 isfare allowed. 8d □ Claim(s) 1-20 isfare allowed. 8d □ Claim(s) 1-20 isfare allowed. 9d □ The drawing(s) field on 1/2 isfare. 8d □ Claim(s) 1-20 isfare allowed. 1d □ Cl	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a). In or event, however, may a reply be limitly filled If NO particle for reply is specified above, the maximum studiory period will apply and will expire 1x (8) MONTH'S from the malling date of this communication or reply to the provision of reply in the specified period for reply will, by above the reply and will expire 1x (8) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set of extended period for reply will, by above the speciation to become ARANDONED 035 U.S.C. § 1333. Any reply received by the Officia in the hithere months. the the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any output provided the majoration. See 77 CFR 1.74(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 30 November 2005. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority document		Yuwen Pan	2682					
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Eletenions of time may be available under the provided of 30 FR 11-18(b). In a revert, however, may a regly the timely flied where IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failubor to provy which the set or ended period for regival, they had become aphatochart Did such 25 (2.5). Any reply received by the Dilico later than three months after the mailing date of this communication. Failubor to provy which the set or ended period for reply. Will, by batikes, cause the application to Deceme ABANCOHIO 26 u.S. 0; 133. Any reply received by the Dilico later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely flied, may reduce any same plater than depathment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 November 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1:20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 5) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 4pplication Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The oath or declaration is objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to the threating and provide value of the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) Acknowledgment is	The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	ie correspondence add	ress				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 November 2005. 2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☑ This action is non-final. 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)☑ Claim(s)	WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABAND	ION. e timely filed from the mailing date of this com DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) 1-20 is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status			(
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) 1-20 is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 N	lovember 2005.						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)								
Al)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits						
4)	closed in accordance with the practice under							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-892) 1) ☑ Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-892) 1) ☑ Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Disposition of Claims							
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * o) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) ☐ Notice of Trattsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) ☐ Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Intormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)								
7								
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in his National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	,)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Application Papers							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)	·							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 1 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	·							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Statement(s) (PTO-152)								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Solution (PTO-152)								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Interview Summary (PTO-413)	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•			•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•	•						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)			•				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
of Minormation Bibolocule Statement(s) (1.10.1.10.0)	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	-:		-152)				
			The second secon	· -,				

Application/Control Number: 10/651,428

Art Unit: 2682

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see applicant's remark, filed on 11/30/05, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-11, and 18-20 under 35 U.S.C. 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Ohsuge (US006351635B1).

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-8, 10, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goh (US006671353B1) in view of Ohsuge (US006351635B1).

Per claims 1 and 2, Goh discloses a method in a mobile set for selecting data to be stored, comprising: displaying a plurality of recording modes (see col. 2 and lines 33-60); indicating a selection means for choosing a recording mode (see col. 2 and lines 55-60); and providing a confirmation signal after a selection means for choosing a recording mode has been selected (see col. 3 and lines 1-3).

Goh doesn't teach that each recording mode for recording a different set of data frames exchanged between the mobile set and a second device during a phone call and recording a set of data frames identified by a selected recording mode. Ohsuge discloses each recording mode for

recording a different set of data frames exchanged between the mobile set and a second device during a phone call and recording a set of data frames identified by a selected recording mode (figure 1, column 2 and lines 20-30) and recording a set of data frames identified by a selected recording mode (column 2 and lines 50-55).

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Ohsuge with Goh such that a user could save limited storage space.

Per claim 3. Goh discloses a method in a mobile set for replaying recorded conversation. comprising: displaying a line indicating a data structure of recorded conversation (see table 1); and in response to selection of the displayed line, replaying a recorded conversation (see col3. lines 50-54).

Goh doesn't teach that each recording mode for recording a different set of data frames exchanged between the mobile set and a second device during a phone call and recording a set of data frames identified by a selected recording mode. Ohsuge discloses each recording mode for recording a different set of data frames exchanged between the mobile set and a second device during a phone call and recording a set of data frames identified by a selected recording mode (figure 1, column 2 and lines 20-30) and recording a set of data frames identified by a selected recording mode (column 2 and lines 50-55).

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Ohsuge with Goh such that a user could save limited storage space.

A . II.'. 0600

Art Unit: 2682

Per claim 4, Goh discloses a method in a mobile set, for replaying previously recorded conversations during a real time conversation, comprising: displaying a list of data structure representing recorded conversation (see table 1); and in response to selection of the displayed list, replaying at least a portion of a data structure (see col.3 and lines 55-62).

Goh doesn't teach that each recording mode for recording a different set of data frames exchanged between the mobile set and a second device during a phone call and recording a set of data frames identified by a selected recording mode. Ohsuge discloses each recording mode for recording a different set of data frames exchanged between the mobile set and a second device during a phone call and recording a set of data frames identified by a selected recording mode (figure 1, column 2 and lines 20-30) and recording a set of data frames identified by a selected recording mode (column 2 and lines 50-55).

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Ohsuge with Goh such that a user could save limited storage space.

Per claims 5 and 6, Goh further teaches that the displaying of a list of data structures can be accessed during a real time subscriber conversation using the mobile set without interfering in the communication between the subscriber and a base station (see column 3 and lines 7-18); in response to a selection of the displayed list, a portion of a previously recorded conversation may be played back and transmitted through the uplink signal (see col.3 and lines 63 and 64).

Per claim 7, Ohsuge further teaches that the set of data frames include speech data transmitted by the mobile set to the second device during the phone call (see column 2 and lines 20-30).

Per claim 8, Ohsuge further teaches that the set of data frames include speech data received by the mobile set from the second device during the phone call (see column 2 and lines 20-30).

Per claim 10 and 11, Ohsuge further teaches that the data frames include speech data (see column 2 and lines 20-30).

4. Claims 9 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goh (US006671353B1) and Ohsuge (US006351635B1) as applied to claims 1 above, and further in view of Jenkins (US006377793B1).

Combination of Ohsuge and Goh doesn't teach that the set of data include non-speech data. Jenkins teaches that the set of data frames include video data (see column 6 and lines 42-67). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Jenkins with the combination of Ohsuge and Goh such that a user is able to record not only the voice data but also the video stream such as videoconference.

5. Claims 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goh (US006671353B1) and Ohsuge (US006351635B1) as applied to claim above, and further in view of Haimi-Cohen (US006233320B1).

Art Unit: 2682

Per claim 18-20, Combination of Ohsuge and Goh doesn't teach that data content analysis includes a determination of data content level and the data content analysis includes a determination of voice activity. Haimi-Cohen further teaches that data content analysis includes a determination of data content level and the data content analysis includes a determination of voice activity (see column 4 and line 66-column 5 and line 25). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine teaching of Haimi-Cohen with the combination of Goh and Ohsuge such that less space would be used while storing the voice data.

6. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goh (US006671353B1) and Ohsuge (US006351635B1) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Yoshida et al (US006256354B1).

Combination of Goh and Ohsuge disclose an analogous art as recited in claim 1.

Combination of Goh and Haimi-Cohen doesn't teaches that a first recording mode records only data transmitted by the mobile set to the second device, a second recording mode records both the data transmitted by the mobile set from the second device and the data received by the mobile set from the second device and the data received by the mobile set from the second device. Yoshida teaches that a first recording mode records only data transmitted by the mobile set to the second device, a second recording mode records only data received by the mobile set from the second device, and a third recording mode records both the data transmitted by the mobile set to the second device and the data received by the mobile set from the second device and the data received by the mobile set from the second device and the data received by the mobile set from the second device (see figure 4-8, column 3 and lines 17-38). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of

Application/Control Number: 10/651,428

Art Unit: 2682

Yoshida with Hami-Cohen and Goh such that the user has more options to record voice or conversation with a portable phone device.

7. Claim 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goh (US006671353B1) and Haimi-Cohen (US006233320B1) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of McCutcheon et al (US006161007A).

Combination of Goh and Haimi-Cohen disclose an analogous art as recited in claim 1. Combination of Goh and Haimi-Cohen doesn't teach the non-speech data includes one of video, text graphics, and application data. McCutcheon teaches an apparatus includes the necessary functionality to receive, record, process, and output incoming wireless voice, text data, and multi-media messages (see abstract, column 1 and lines 45-52). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of McCutcheon with Goh and Hami-Cohen such that the user could receive and distinguish different type of data during usage of the wireless communication device.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yuwen Pan whose telephone number is 571-272-7855. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doris To can be reached on 571-272-7629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/651,428

Art Unit: 2682

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 8